# **Ligand K-Edge X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy as a Probe of Ligand-Metal Bonding: Charge Donation and Covalency in Copper-Chloride Systems**

# Susan E. Shadle,<sup>†</sup> Britt Hedman,<sup>\*,†</sup> Keith O. Hodgson,<sup>\*,†,†</sup> and Edward I. Solomon<sup>\*,†</sup>

Department of Chemistry and Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

*Received March 31, 1994@* 

X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) have been measured at the chloride K-edge for a series of complexes containing chloride ligands bound to open shell  $d^9$  copper ions in order to probe ligand-metal bonding. The intensity of the pre-edge feature in these spectra reflects the covalency in the half-occupied  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -derived molecular orbital (HOMO) of the complex. The energy of the pre-edge feature is related to both the charge on the ligand and the HOMO energy. An analysis of the intensity and energy of the pre-edge feature as well as the energy of the rising absorption edge provides quantitative information about the covalency of the ligand-metal interaction, the charge donated by the chloride, and the energy of the copper d-manifold. The results demonstrate that ligand K-edge XAS features can be used to obtain information about ligand-metal bonding. The results also identify the chemical basis for trends in the XAS data for the following complexes:  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>,  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, planar trans-CuCl<sub>2</sub>-(pdmp)<sub>2</sub> (pdmp = *N*-phenyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazole), square pyramidal CuCl<sub>3</sub><sup>3-</sup>, the planar dimer KCuCl<sub>3</sub>, the distorted tetrahedral dimer (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub>, and two dimers with mixed ligation, one containing a bridging chloride, and the other, containing terminally bound chloride. Several of these results are supported by independent spectral data or by basic ligand field concepts. A geometric distortion from square planar to distorted tetrahedral results in a decrease in the chloride-copper HOMO covalency but an increase in the total charge donation by the chlorides. While the geometry can maximize the overlap for a highly covalent HOMO, this does not necessarily reflect the overall charge donation. The  $Cl-Cu(II)$  bonding interactions are dependent on the nature of the other coordinating ligands. Replacement of chlorides by less strongly donating ligands causes an increase in charge donation by the remaining chloride ligands. An increase in the coordination number of the copper or in the charge donation by the ligands (resulting in a lower effective nuclear charge on the copper) causes an increase in the copper d-manifold energy. Finally, the bonding of a terminal *vs* bridging chloride is very different, in that for the latter there is more total charge donation and a higher ligand covalent contribution to the HOMO of the two coppers.

# **Introduction**

Insight into the electronic structure of an absorbing atom can be obtained from an analysis of X-ray absorption edge spectra.<sup>1,2</sup> This has been demonstrated for transition metal centers such as Cu, Fe, Ni, and Mo at the metal K-edges. $3-6$  It has also recently been shown that absorption edges of ligands such as S and C1 can be used to probe the electronic structure of transition metal complexes<sup>7-9</sup> and metalloprotein active sites.<sup>7,9</sup> The K-edges of these atoms fall in the  $2-3$  keV range in which

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

- (2) Bianconi, A. In *X-ray Absorption: Principles, Applications, Techniques of EXAFS, SEXAFS, and XANES;* Koningsberger, D. C.; Prins, R., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1988; pp 573-662.
- (3) Kau, L. **S.;** Spira-Solomon, D. J.; Penner-Hahn, J. E.; Hodgson, K. 0.; Solomon, E. I. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1987,** *109,* 6433-6442.
- **(4)** Roe, **A.** L.; Schneider, D. J.; Mayer, R. J.; Pyrz, J. W.; Widom, J.; Que, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984,** *106,* 1676-1681.
- (5) Eidsness, M. K.; Sullivan, R. J.; Scott, R. **A.** In *Bioinorganic Chemistry ofNickel;* Lancanster, J. R., **Jr.,** Ed.; VCH: Deerfield Beach, FL, 1988; pp 73-92.
- (6) Conradson, **S.** D.; Burgess, B. K.; Newton, W. E.; Hodgson, K. *0.;*  McDonald, J. W.; Rubinson, J. F.; Gheller, **S.** F.; Mortenson, L. E.; Adams, M. W. W.; Mascharak, P. K.; Armstrong, W. A,; Holm, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1985,** *107,* 7935-7940.
- (7) Hedman, B.; Frank, P.; Gheller, **S.** F.; Roe, A. L.; Newton, W. E.; Hodgson, K. 0. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1988,** *110,* 3798-3805.
- (8) Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. 0.; Solomon, E. I. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1990,**  112, 1643-1645.



Figure 1. Pre-edge and edge regions of a typical Cl K-edge absorption spectrum for a C1 bound to an open shell metal ion. (Shown here is the Cl K-edge for  $Cs<sub>2</sub>CuCl<sub>4</sub>$ ). Shown are the intense, well-resolved preedge feature, the main edge transition, and a shoulder which occurs on the rising edge.

spectral features are well-resolved.<sup>10</sup> Further, for ligands such as S and C1 the 3p orbitals are directly involved in bonding with transition metal ions. Because the electric dipole-allowed transitions for K-edges are 1s  $\rightarrow$  np, ligand K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy provides a direct probe of these ligandmetal bonding interactions.

- (9) Shadle, **S.** E.; Penner-Hahn, J. E.; Schugar, H. J.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. 0.; Solomon, E. **I.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1993,** *115,* 767- 776.
- (10) The high energy resolution is due to the combined effect of increased core-hole lifetime (Krause, M. O.; Oliver, J. H. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. *Data* **1979,** *8,* 329-338) and improved monochromator resolution.

<sup>+</sup>Department of Chemistry, Stanford University.

**<sup>4</sup>**Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, Stanford University.

<sup>@</sup> Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts,* August 15, 1994.

<sup>(1)</sup> Heald, **S.** M.; Tranquada, J. M. In *Physical Methods of Chemistry;*  Rossiter, B. W.; Hamilton, J. F., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1990; Vol. 5; pp 189-272.



**Figure 2.** Schematic representation of the contributions to the preedge transition energy. The pre-edge energy is determined by shifts both in the C1 **1s** core energy and in the energy of the HOMO of the complex. The HOMO energy is determined by overall shifts in the d-manifold related to coordination number and charge on the metal as well as the specific repulsive interaction of the HOMO determined by the ligand field of the complex.

A representative K-edge absorption spectrum for a chloride ligand bound to an open shell cupric  $d^9$  ion is shown in Figure 1. The pre-edge feature well separated from the rising edge is absent in metal systems with a full d-manifold and is assigned as the Cl 1s  $\rightarrow$  Cu 3d<sub>x<sup>2</sup>- $v$ 2</sub> transition, where the 3d<sub>x<sup>2- $v$ 2</sup> orbital</sub> is the half-occupied, highest-occupied molecular orbital (HO-M0).8 Figure **2** shows an energy level diagram depicting the transition which gives rise to the pre-edge spectral feature. Due to the localized nature of the C1 1s orbital, this transition can have absorption intensity only if the half-occupied Cu 3d orbital contains a significant component of C1 3p character as a result of covalency. Thus, a more complete description of this transition is Cl 1s  $\rightarrow \psi^*$ , where  $\psi^* = (1 - \alpha'^2)^{1/2}$ (Cu 3d<sub>x<sup>2-y2</sup>)</sub>  $-\alpha'$ (Cl 3p), and  $\alpha'^2$  represents the amount of Cl 3p character in the antibonding molecular orbital. $8$  The electric dipole intensity observed in this pre-edge transition is simply the intensity of the pure dipole-allowed Cl 1s  $\rightarrow$  3p transition weighted by  $\alpha'^2$  (eq 1). Thus, the pre-edge intensity provides a<br>  $I(Cl 1s \rightarrow \psi^*) = \alpha'^2 I(Cl 1s \rightarrow Cl 3p)$  (1)

$$
I(Cl 1s \rightarrow \psi^*) = \alpha'^2 I(Cl 1s \rightarrow Cl 3p)
$$
 (1)

*direct* probe of the ligand contribution to the HOMO orbital due to bonding.<sup>8</sup>

As indicated in Figure *2,* a combination of factors affect the energy position of a pre-edge transition. A shift in the core C1 1s energy, which is related to the relative charge on the chloride, results in a change in the observed pre-edge energy. More charge donation to the metal results in a shift to deeper binding energy *(vide infra).* In addition, the energy of the pre-edge transition is affected by the HOMO energy, which has two contributions. First, the geometry of the ligand field of the complex determines the d-orbital energy splitting pattern and thus contributes to the HOMO energy. Second, the overall d-manifold can shift in energy. This is related to both the coordination number of the metal (the total antibonding and repulsive interactions with the ligands) and the effective charge on the metal, which affects the energy of all the metal orbitals.

At higher energy in Figure 1 are absorption edge features due to transitions to bound states higher in energy than the HOMO. The intense electric dipole-allowed transitions which give rise to the main edge jump are Cl 1s  $\rightarrow$  4p transitions. Transitions to molecular orbitals with both Cl 3p and metal *(e,g,,* 4s) character can appear as shoulders on the rising edge. The energy of the main edge transition, which can be determined in some cases from the rising edge inflection point, has been shown to be linearly related to the charge on the absorber.<sup>11-13</sup> The binding energies of core electrons undergo chemical shifts which relate to changes in the valence electron distribution due to bonding. A decrease in positive charge on the C1 will result in the core 1s energy shifting to lower binding energy; delocalization of C1 electron density due to bonding results in a core orbital shift to deeper binding energy. Since the unoccupied C14p orbitals are less effected by charge donation, shifts in the rising edge inflection energy *directly* reflect shifts in the C1 1s core in response to the effective charge on the atom.

In this study, we utilize the relationship between pre-edge intensity and covalency (eq 1) to further develop the application of pre-edge intensity to quantitate the ligand-metal covalency in transition metal complexes. We also develop a quantitative analysis of the pre-edge and edge energies for ligand K-edge spectra. This analysis, based on the model described above, allows us to quantitatively separate the contributions from both the C1 1s core and the HOMO shifts to the pre-edge transition energy. The C1 **1s** core shift is quantitated from energy shifts in the edge transition. Ligand field contributions to the HOMO energy are determined from optical spectra. Correction of the observed pre-edge energy for these effects allows the contribution to the pre-edge energy from energy shifts of the d-manifold to be determined. In several cases, independent methods confirm the results of this methodology.

This energy and intensity analysis is applied to C1 K-edge absorption spectra for a series of  $Cl-Cu(II)$  complexes. This simple ligand-metal system allows us to determine the electronic and geometric structural basis for trends observed in the ligand K-edge spectra. The structural variations which are examined include the distortion of the  $CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>$  ion from a square planar  $(D_{4h})$  to a distorted tetrahedral  $(D_{2d})$  geometry, the substitution of pyrazoles for two chloride ligands in a square planar complex, and the addition of **a** fifth ligand to tetrachlorocuprate, generating the square pyramidal  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>$  complex. Studies of planar and tetrahedrally-distorted  $Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup>$  dimers compare terminal to bridging chlorides in two geometric environments. Also, spectra for dimers which contain square pyramidal coppers coordinated primarily by N/O ligands and either bridging or terminal chloride allow us to easily resolve features of bridging *vs* terminal chlorides which can then be related to systems with both types of chloride involved in bonding.

## **Experimental Section**

**A. Sample Preparation.** All model compounds were prepared according to published methods: (creatininium)<sub>2</sub>CuCl<sub>4</sub>,<sup>14</sup> Cs<sub>2</sub>CuCl<sub>4</sub>,<sup>15,16</sup>  $CuCl<sub>2</sub>(N-phenyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazole)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>17</sup> (N(2amet)pipzH<sub>3</sub>)CuCl<sub>5</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O)$  $[N(2amet)pipzH_3 = (N-(2-ammonioethyl)piperazinium)]$ <sup>18</sup>  $KCuCl<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>19</sup>  $(Ph_4P)CuCl_3<sup>20</sup>$   $[Cu_2(PAP6Me)(OH)Cl_3]·H_2O$   $[PAP6Me = 1,4-bis((6'-1))$ methyl-2'-pyridyl)amino)phthalazine],<sup>21</sup> and  $\left[ Cu_2(L-O^-)Cl \right]$  [BPh<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>·CH<sub>3</sub>-

- Ovsyannikova, **I.** A.; Batsanov, S. S.; Nasanova, L. I.; Batsanova, L. R.; Nekrasova, E. A. *Bull. Acad. Sei. USSR, Phys. Ser. (Engl. Transl.)*  **1967,** *31,* 936-940.
- Cramer, S. **P.;** Eccles, T. K.; Kutzler, F. W.; Hodgson, K. 0.; Mortenson, L. E. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1976,** *98,* 1287-1288.
- Kirby, J. A,; Goodin, D. B.; Wydrzynski, T.; Robertson, **A.** S.; Klein, M. **P.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1981,** *103,* 5531-5542.
- Udupa, M. R.; Krebs, B. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1979,** *33,* 241-244.
- Sharnoff, M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1965,** *42,* 3383-3395.
- McGinnety, **J. A.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1972,** *94,* 8406-8413.
- Francisco, R. H. P.; Lechat, J. R.; Massabni, **A.** C.; Melios, C. B.; Molina, M. *J. Coord. Chem.* **1980,** *10,* 149-153.  $(18)$
- Antolini, L.; Marcotrigiano, G.; Menabue, L.; Pellacani, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1980,** *102,* 1303-1309. Willett, R. D.; Dwiggins, C., Jr.; Kruh, R. F.; Rundle, R. E. *J. Chem.*
- *Phys.* **1963,** *38,* 2429-2436. Textor, M.; Dubler, E.; Oswald, H. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974,** *13,* 1361-
- 1365.
- Bautista, D. V.; Dewan, **J.** C.; Thompson, L. K. *Can. J. Chem.* **1982,**  *60,* 2583-2593.

 $COCH<sub>3</sub>$  [(where L-O<sup>-</sup> is a binucleating ligand providing two pyridyl nitrogens and a tertiary amine to each Cu and a bridging phenolate oxygen)].<sup>22</sup> The starting material for  $\text{[Cu}_{2}(\text{L-O}^{-})\text{Cl}_{2}\text{[BPh}_{4}]_{2}\text{CH}_{3}\text{COCH}_{3}$ was generously provided by Dr. Kenneth Karlin.

For the X-ray absorption experiments, samples were ground into a fine powder (at least several minutes of grinding with mortar and pestle). The powder was dispersed as thinly as possible (to minimize the possibility of self-absorption) on mylar tape containing an acrylic adhesive determined to be free of chlorine contaminants. We have verified that this procedure minimizes self-absorption effects in the data by systematically testing progressively thinner samples until the observed intensity no longer varies with the thickness of the sample. Samples were prepared identically to those in which self-absorption was shown to be negligible. The powder on tape was mounted across the window of an aluminum plate. The  $[Cu_2(L-O^-)Cl][BPh_4]_2$ <sup>-</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>-COCH3 sample was prepared in a *dry,* inert atmosphere. A polypropylene film window protected the sample from exposure to air.

**B. X-ray Absorption Measurements and Data Acquisition Parameters.** X-ray absorption data were measured at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory using the 54-pole wiggler beamline 6-2 in low magnetic field mode *(5* kG) with a Pt-coated focusing mirror and a Si(111) double crystal monochromator, under dedicated conditions (3.0 GeV,  $\sim$  50 mA). Some data were measured on beamline X10C at the National Synchrotron Light Source (2.5 GeV, 200 mA). The monochromator was in both cases detuned  $\sim$ 30% to eliminate higher harmonic components in the X-ray beam. Details of the optimization of this setup for low-energy studies have been given in an earlier publication.'

The data were collected as fluorescence excitation spectra utilizing an ionization chamber as a fluorescence detector.<sup>23,24</sup> Several (two to three) scans were measured for each sample. The energy was calibrated from the Cl K-edge spectra of  $Cs_2CuCl<sub>4</sub>$ , run at intervals between the samples. The maximum of the first edge-region feature in the spectrum was assigned to 2820.20 eV. Scans ranged from 2740 to 3100 eV, with a step size of 0.08 eV in the edge region for most samples. Several samples  $(D_{4h} \text{CuCl}_4^{2-}$ , CuCl<sub>3</sub><sup>3-</sup>, and KCuCl<sub>3</sub>) had a larger pre-edge step size of 0.2 eV. The spectrometer resolution was  $\sim$ 0.5 eV. Calculating and comparing first and second derivatives for model compounds measured during different experimental sessions result in a reproducibility in edge position better than  $\sim 0.1$  eV for these experiments.

**C. Data Analysis.** Data were averaged, and a smooth background was removed from all spectra by fitting a polynomial to the pre-edge region and subtracting this polynomial from the entire spectrum. Normalization of the data was accomplished by fitting a flat polynomial or straight line to the post-edge region and normalizing the edge jump to 1.0 at 2840 eV.

The inflection point of the rising edge for each C1 K-edge spectrum was determined from the energy of the maximum of the first derivative of the data in the rising edge region. In spectra in which there were overlapping peaks in the first derivative, the highest energy peak in the rising edge region was used in comparisons.

**D. Fitting Procedures.** The intensities and energies of pre-edge features were quantitated by fits to the data. The fitting program EDG-FIT, which utilizes the double precision version of the public domain MINPAK fitting library<sup>25</sup> was used. EDG\_FIT was written by Dr. Graham N. George of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory. All spectra were fit over the range 2818-2826 eV. Preedge features were modeled by pseudo-Voigt line shapes (simple sums of Lorentzian and Gaussian functions). **This** line shape is appropriate as the experimental features are expected to be a convolution of the Lorentzian transition envelope26 and the Gaussian line shape imposed

by the spectrometer optics.<sup>23,27,28</sup> A fixed 50:50 ratio of Lorentzian to Gaussian contribution for the pre-edge feature successfully reproduced these spectral features.

Functions modeling the background underneath the pre-edge features were chosen empirically to give the best fit. The rising edge was mimicked by combinations of pseudo-Voigt line shapes (of varying admixtures). In some cases a step function was included. The number and positions of the functions used were chosen based on the features evident in the second derivative of the spectrum. In all cases the minimum number of functions required to successfully reproduce the data was utilized.

The second derivative of the data was compared to the second derivative of the fit. In all cases, a number of fits were obtained which reproduced the data and the second derivative. The value reported for the area of a fitted feature (where peak area was approximated by the height multiplied by the full-width-at-half-maximum (fwhm)) is the average of all the pseudo-Voigts which successfully fit the feature. For each sample, the standard deviation of the average of the areas was calculated to quantitate the error. In several cases the second derivative of the data was not of sufficient quality to be used to define the goodness of fit. In these cases, the fits were made only to the data. Because the fit to the second derivative of the data is most sensitive to the fwhm of the fitting function, the fwhm for these samples was stepped through the entire range of values found for the model systems with wellbehaved second derivatives. The area and standard deviation reported are from the set of fits produced in this way. In the cases where the pre-edge contained overlapping features, the average area and standard deviation for each feature was determined separately **as** described above. The standard deviation of these area values was carried through in any analysis which utilized the fitted peak areas.

**E. Determination of Rising Edge Positions.** The energies reported for the rising edge position were determined from the maximum in the first derivative of the data corresponding the rising edge inflection point. These measurements were performed independently of the above described fitting procedures.

**F. Error Analysis.** There are several possible sources of systematic error in the analysis of these spectra. Normalization procedures can introduce a  $1-3\%$  difference in pre-edge peak heights, as determined by varying the parameters used to normalize a set of C1 K-edge spectra such that the final fits met requirements of consistency. **This** maximum of  $\sim$ 3% error and the error resulting from the fitting procedure discussed above (section D) were taken into account in the calculation of preedge intensities and subsequent determinations of covalency. Experimental self-absorption could, in principle, result in an artificially low observed intensity. However, care was taken to avoid self-absorption in these experiments *(vide supra),* and this effect is assumed to be negligible.

The uncertainty in pre-edge and edge energies is limited by the reproducibility of the edge spectra (better than  $\sim 0.1$  eV). Thus, relative energies of features are reported with an error of  $\pm 0.1$  eV. For preedge features with overlapping energies, the error in the energy position was determined from fits to the data in the manner described above (section D). The centers of ligand field spectra were used to quantitate energy shifts of HOMO orbitals for complexes with unassigned ligand field spectra. The error in this approximation has been determined to be  $\sim 0.2$  eV from comparison of the centers of assigned ligand field spectra to the HOMO shift determined from the assigned transitions. It was assumed that there is no error in the HOMO shifts for those determined from assigned ligand field spectra.

#### **Results**

Figure 3 shows the C1 K-edge X-ray absorption spectra of the inorganic compounds  $NaClO<sub>4</sub>$ , KClO<sub>3</sub>, and KCl, as well as the first derivatives of these spectra. The inflection point of the rising edge, determined by the energy of the maximum in the first derivative, ranges over almost 10 **eV** for this series of

<sup>(22)</sup> Karlin, K. D.; Farooq, **A.;** Hayes, J. C.; Cohen, B. I.; Rowe, T. M.; Sinn, E.; Zubieta, J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, 26, 1271-1280. (23) Lytle, F. W.; Greegor, R. B.; Sandstrom, D. R.; Marques, E. C.; Wong,

J.; Spiro, C. L.; Huffman, G. **P.;** Huggins, F. E. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods*  **1984,** *226,* 542-548.

<sup>(24)</sup> Stem, E. A.; Heald, S. M. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1979,** *50,* 1579-1582.

<sup>(25)</sup> Argonne National Laboratory; B. S. Garbow, K. E. Hillstrom, J. J. More.

<sup>(26)</sup> Agarwal, B. K. *X-ray Spectroscopy;* Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1979, p 276 ff.

**<sup>(27)</sup>** Tyson, T. **A.;** Roe, **A.** L.; Frank, P.; Hodgson, K. 0.; Hedman, B. *Phys. Rev. B* **1989,** *39A,* 6305-6315.

<sup>(28)</sup> Lytle, F. W. In *Applicarions of Synchrorron Rudiurion;* Winick, H.; Xian, D.; Ye, M. H.; Huang, T., Eds.; Gordon & Breach: New York, 1989; pp 135.



Figure 3. Cl K-edge spectra of (a) NaClO<sub>4</sub>, (b) KClO<sub>3</sub>, and (c) KCl. For each spectrum the solid line is the X-ray absorption data and the dashed line is the first derivative of the data. Spectral intensity are rescaled due to a large variation in the y-scale of these spectra. The inflection point of the rising edge, determined by the energy of the maximum in the fist derivative, ranges over almost 10 eV for this series of complexes. There are no pre-edge features observed in these data.

complexes. The inflection point is lowest for KCl at 2824.8 eV (Figure 3a) and highest for NaClO<sub>4</sub> at 2833.7 eV (Figure 3c), while that of KClO<sub>3</sub> occurs at 2830.5 eV (Figure 3b). Table 1 summarizes these results as well as all other numerical information presented in the Results.

The C1 K-edge X-ray absorption spectra of the monomeric Cl-Cu(II) complexes  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>,  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, square planar trans-CuClzpdmp2 (pdmp = **N-phenyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazole),**  and square pyramidal  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>$  are shown in Figure 4. Each spectrum exhibits an intense, well-resolved pre-edge feature at lower energy than the edge. The pre-edge feature in the spectrum of  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (Figure 4b) appears at 2820.2 eV. This is at lower energy than in the others, which are 2820.6, 2820.5, and 2820.6 eV for  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub>, and CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>, respectively.

Figure 4 also shows the first derivative of each spectrum. In contrast to the spectra in Figure 3, the first derivatives shown in Figure 4 reflect structure on the rising edge: a broad maximum or two well-defined maxima in the region of the rising edge. The lower energy feature corresponds to the inflection point of the shoulder seen on the rising edge in each spectrum. The higher energy feature in the first derivative reflects the energy of the inflection point for the Cl 1s  $\rightarrow$  4p main edge transition. The inflection point of this C1-based transition is used for comparison and occurs at 2825.0, 2825.3, 2825.3, and 2824.9 eV for  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>,  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub>, and  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>, respectively.$ 

Shown in Figure *5* are the C1 K-edge X-ray absorption spectra of the dimeric complexes  $KCuCl<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $(Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub>$ , and  $(Ph<sub>4</sub>P)$ -CuClBr<sub>2</sub>. The planar Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup> unit in KCuCl<sub>3</sub> has tetragonal symmetry at each copper,<sup>19</sup> including two additional Cl<sup>-</sup> ligands at  $\sim$ 3.0 Å which belong to the equatorial plane of adjacent binuclear units (Figure 6a). The latter two complexes contain isolated Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub><sup>2-20</sup> and Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>4</sub><sup>2-29</sup> units for which the Cu symmetry is distorted  $T_d$  (Figure 6b). Studies of the (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)- $CuClBr<sub>2</sub>$  have shown<sup>29</sup> that the Cl has a preference for the bridging position in the dimer.

The Cl K-edge spectrum of each complex exhibits a preedge feature. Compared to the monomers, the pre-edge width at half-height for KCuCl<sub>3</sub> (Figure 5a) is significantly larger and the feature is asymmetric. A fit of this spectrum (shown in Figure 5a, inset) reveals two transitions contained in the preedge feature envelope. In the spectrum of  $(Ph_4P)CuCl_3$  (Figure 5b) the pre-edge is split into two distinct transitions. The preedge transition at lower energy is more intense than that at higher energy. The Cl K-edge spectrum of  $(Ph_4P)CuClBr_2$  (Figure 5c) also exhibits a split pre-edge feature. The energies are identical to those found in  $(Ph_4P)CuCl_3$ , but in this spectrum the higher energy transition is more intense. Because some of the C1' are replaced with bromides in CuClBr2, the reduction in relative intensity of the lower energy peak requires that it be C1' based and the higher energy peak is then assigned as originating from the  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$ . The Cl<sup>t</sup> (lower energy) transition has some residual intensity in  $(Ph_4P)CuClBr_2$  because the complex contains some  $Cl^{1,29}$  By analogy, the two transitions in KCuCl<sub>3</sub> are assigned **as** the lower energy transition arising from the C1' and the higher energy transition arising from the  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$ . The pre-edge transitions for the tetrahedrally distorted dimers (2820.0 and 2821.0 eV) are shifted to lower energy relative to those in KCuCl<sub>3</sub> (2820.6) and 2821.3 eV).

Figure *5* also shows the first derivative of each spectrum. As for the monomers, the derivatives reflect structure on the rising edge. The inflection points of the main edge transitions for KCuCl<sub>3</sub> and (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub> are at 2824.9 and 2825.3 eV, respectively.

Figure 7 shows the C1 K-edge X-ray absorption spectra for the mixed ligated dimers  $\left[\text{Cu}_2(\text{PAP6Me})(\text{OH})\text{Cl}_3\right]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Cu<sub>2</sub>-The Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP dimer,<sup>30</sup> shown schematically in Figure 6c, contains square pyramidal five-coordinate coppers bridged by a hydroxyl oxygen in the equatorial plane, and by a chloride at a shared apical position with a large bond distance of  $>$  2.6 Å. Each copper is further coordinated by an equatorial terminal chloride, a pyridyl nitrogen and a phthalazine nitrogen in the equatorial plane. The  $Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-Q<sup>-</sup>)Cl$  dimer complex,<sup>22</sup> shown schematically in Figure 6d, contains square pyramidal fivecoordinate coppers bridged by a phenolate oxygen and a chloride in the equatorial plane of the square pyramid. Each axial position is occupied by a pyridyl nitrogen, and the remaining equatorial positions for each copper are occupied by one pyridyl and one amine nitrogen. Each C1 K-edge spectrum (Figure 7) exhibits a pre-edge feature, which is at  $2820.7$  eV for  $Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP$ and  $2821.4$  eV for Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl. The first derivatives of the spectra, shown in Figure 7, again reflect the rising edge structure in these systems. The main edge inflection points for these complexes are at 2824.8 and 2825.8 eV for  $Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP$  and  $Cu<sub>2</sub>$ -(L-O-)Cl, respectively. PAP) and  $[Cu_2(L-O^-)Cl][BPh_4]_2CH_3COCH_3$   $(Cu_2(L-O^-)Cl)$ .

### **Analysis**

**A. C1 K-edges.** As described in the Introduction, the intense electric dipole allowed transition observed at the onset of the edge jump is a bound state Cl 1s  $\rightarrow$  4p transition. The energy of this main edge transition is determined from the rising edge inflection point, and shifts in this energy directly reflect shifts in the C1 1s core in response to the relative charge on the atom. **This** correlation between charge and inflection point is clearly observed in the C1 K-edges of NaClO<sub>4</sub>, KClO<sub>3</sub>, and KCl (Figure 3). In NaClO<sub>4</sub>, Cl has a formal charge of  $+7$ ; in KClO<sub>3</sub>, it has a formal charge of  $+5$ ; and Cl in KCl is formally  $-1$ . The rising edge inflection points for these complexes at 2833.7,

**<sup>(29)</sup>** Estes, W. E.; Wasson, **J.** R.; Hall, J. W.; Hatfield, W. E. *Znorg. Chem.*  **1978,** *17,* 3657-3664.

<sup>(30)</sup> Mandal, S. K.; Thompson, L. K.; Hanson, **A.** W. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1985,** 1709-1711.

**Table 1.** C1 K-Edge Transition Energies and Intensities and Spectral Analysis

	Cl K-edge spectral features			Cl K-edge spectral analysis		
complex	pre-edge energy $(eV)^a$	normalized pre-edge intensity $\psi$	rising edge inflection point	calcd charge <sup><math>d</math></sup>	renormalized pre-edge intensity $e$	covalency per Cl (%) <sup>f</sup>
NaClO <sub>4</sub> KCIO <sub>3</sub> <b>KCl</b> $D_{4h}$ CuCL <sup>2-</sup> $D_{2d}$ CuCL <sup>2-</sup> CuCl <sub>2</sub> pdmp <sub>2</sub> CuCl <sub>5</sub> <sup>3–</sup> KCuCl <sub>3</sub> (Cl <sub>3</sub> ) KCuCl <sub>3</sub> (Cl <sup>b</sup> ) $(Ph_4P)CuCl_3 (Clt)$ $(Ph_4P)CuCl_3 (Cl^b)$ $(Ph_4P)CuClBr_2 (Clt)$ $(Ph_4P)CuClBr_2 (Clb)$	2820.6 2820.2 2820.5 2820.6 $2820.6 \pm 0.05$ $2821.3 \pm 0.09$ 2820.0 2821.0 2820.0 2821.0	0.75 0.57 0.76 0.57 $0.66 \pm 0.09$ $0.35 \pm 0.09$ 0.50 0.30	2833.7 2830.5 2824.8 2825.0 2825.3 2825.3 2824.9 2824.9 $(2825.6)^{8}$ 2825.3 $(2826.3)^{8}$	1.91 1.49 $-0.87$ $-0.65$ $-0.56$ $-0.56$ $-0.69$ $-0.69$ $-0.46$ $-0.56$ $-0.23$	0.76 0.57 0.76 0.72 $0.99 \pm 0.14$ $1.05 \pm 0.26$ 0.74 0.92	9.8 7.3 9.7 9.2 $12.7 \pm 1.8$ $13.5 \pm 3.5$ 9.5 11.7
Cu <sub>2</sub> PAP $Cu2(L-O-)Cl$	2820.7 2821.4	0.53 1.07	2824.8 2825.8	$-0.72$ $-0.39$	0.80 1.07	10.2 13.8

<sup>a</sup>Reported error is the standard deviation of the energy as determined from fitting of the spectra; the error is ≤0.009 eV unless otherwise noted. **P** Reported error is the standard deviation of the area as determined from fitting of the spectra and the  $\sim$ 3% error from normalization of the data; the error is  $\leq 0.04$  unless otherwise noted. <sup>c</sup> Error in the inflection point is  $\leq 0.1$  eV; the inflection point reported is the highest energy feature in the first derivative in the rising edge region. <sup>d</sup> Charge is generated from the relationship determined from Auger spectroscopy which established the charges of KC104, KC103, and KCl (the values in boldface type); see Figure 8. **e** Areas are properly normalized to the total chloride which contributes to pre-edge intensity. The reported error is the standard deviation obtained from fits to the data and the  $\sim$ 3% error from normalization of data; error is  $\leq 0.05$  unless otherwise noted. *f* Error reported is the standard deviation of the reported covalency value. Error is  $\leq 0.5\%$  unless otherwise noted. Zero error in the 39% total covalency (9.75% per Cl) in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> used to quantitate the pre-edge intensity has been assumed. <sup>8</sup> Inflection point of Cl<sup>b</sup> estimated relative to that measured for Cl<sup>t</sup> of each complex, utilizing the observed pre-edge energy splitting (see text).



Normalized Absorption and First Derivative  $(c)$ **2815 2820 2825 2830 2835 Energy (eV)**  Figure 5. Cl K-edge spectra of dimeric complexes (a) planar KCuCl<sub>3</sub>,

**Figure 4.** C1 K-edge spectra of the monomeric complexes (a) *D4h*   $CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, (b) D<sub>2d</sub> CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, (c) square planar *trans-CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub>*, and (d)$ square pyramidal  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>$ . For each spectrum the solid line is the normalized X-ray absorption data and the dashed line is the fist derivative of the data. Each spectrum exhibits a single pre-edge feature well separated from the rising edge. The energy of the feature in spectrum b is lower (2820.2 eV) than those in spectra a, c, and d for which the feature appears at 2820.6,2820.5, and 2820.6 eV, respectively.

2830.5, and 2824.8 eV for NaClO<sub>4</sub>, KClO<sub>3</sub>, and KCl, respectively, reflect these changes due to relative core shifts.

A more realistic charge on the C1 for these compounds has been experimentally estimated using Auger spectroscopy. $31$  The charge on the Cl in KCl,  $KClO<sub>3</sub>$ , and  $KClO<sub>4</sub>$  was determined to be  $-0.87, +1.49,$  and  $+1.91$ , respectively.<sup>31</sup> While the number of charges which have been experimentally determined are limited, previous studies $11-13$  have demonstrated that the relationship between the X-ray edge position and a quantity

(b) distorted tetrahedral (Ph4p)CuC13, and (c) distorted tetrahedral  $(Ph_4P)CuClBr_2$ . For each spectrum the solid line is the normalized X-ray absorption data and the dashed line is the first derivative of the data. Spectrum a exhibits an asymmetric pre-edge feature; spectra b and c exhibit a split pre-edge feature. Insets a and b show the fit to the preedge for  $KCuCl<sub>3</sub>$  and for  $(Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub>$ , respectively.

called the "coordination charge"32 is linear. Thus, using the charges from the Auger study and the experimental rising edge inflection points, a linear fit to the data in Figure 8 is obtained with  $q = -916.66 + 0.32425 \text{ eV}^{-1}$  (x), where *q* is the charge on the C1 and  $x$  is the rising edge inflection point in  $eV$ . This equation can be applied to the Cl 1s  $\rightarrow$  4p rising edge inflection point for each Cl-Cu(II) complex to obtain an estimate of the charge on the C1. Table 1 shows the results from such **an**  analysis for complexes with only one type of Cl. For  $D_{4h}$ 

<sup>(31)</sup> Nefedov, V. I.; Yarzhemsky, V. G.; Chuvaev, **A.** V.; Trishkina, E. M. *J.* Electron *Spectrosc.* Relat. Phenom. 1988, 46, 381-404.

<sup>(32)</sup> The "coordination charge" is given by  $\eta = Z - \sum_{k} n_k c_k$  where  $\eta$  is the coordination charge, *Z* is the formal oxidation state of the absorber, and  $c_k$  is the degree of covalency for the kth ligand and  $n_k$  is the total number of ligands. Previous studies have used differences in electronegativities to calculate the  $c_k$  values (see refs  $11-13$ ).



Figure 6. Schematic structures of Cl-Cu(II) dimeric complexes (a)  $KCuCl<sub>3</sub>$ , (b) (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub> and (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuClBr<sub>2</sub>, (c) Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP, and (d) Cu<sub>2</sub>- $(L-O^-)Cl.$ 



Figure 7. Cl K-edge spectra of dimeric complexes (a) Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP and (b)  $Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl$ . For each spectrum the solid line is the normalized X-ray absorption data and the dashed line is the first derivative of the data. The pre-edge feature in spectrum a appears at **2820.7** eV while that in spectrum b appears 0.7 eV higher at **2821.4** eV. In addition, the rising edge inflection point is at higher energy for spectrum b and the pre-edge intensity is greater for spectrum b.

CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> the charge on each chloride is -0.65, while in  $D_{2d}$  $CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>$  and  $CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub>$  it is  $-0.56$ . For the bridging chloride in Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl the charge is  $-0.39$ .

For complexes with more than one type of C1, each may have a distinct core energy which can give rise to different rising edge inflection points. In CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup> and Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP, the axial chlorides  $(Cl<sub>ax</sub>)$  have a significantly weaker bonding interaction with the Cu, and thus the equatorial chlorides  $(Cl_{eq})$  have deeper core energies. Thus, the highest energy maximum in the first with the Cu, and thus the equatorial chlorides  $(Cl_{eq})$  have deeper<br>core energies. Thus, the highest energy maximum in the first<br>derivative reflects the 1s  $\rightarrow$  4p transition for the Cl<sub>eq</sub> in each case. Further, the stoichiometry of each site would indicate that the  $Cl_{eq}$  should dominate the rising edge region. Thus, the rising edge inflection point for these spectra can be used to calculate the charge on the Cl<sub>eq</sub> in each case. In CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup> the Cl<sub>eq</sub> charge is  $-0.69$  and in Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP it is  $-0.72$ . These results are given in Table 1.

For the  $Cu_2Cl_6^{2-}$  dimers, both the terminal (Cl<sup>t</sup>) and bridging  $(Cl<sup>b</sup>)$  chlorides have strong interactions with the coppers and the Cl 1s  $\rightarrow$  4p transitions are unresolved. In order to determine which C1 gives rise to the main edge transition (and the corresponding inflection point) a comparison can be made to the Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP and Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl dimers in which the rising edge inflection reflects  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$ , respectively. The rising edge



**Figure 8.** Inflection point of the rising edge of C1 K-edge data plotted *vs* the charge on the C1 determined by Auger spectroscopy for the complexes  $NaClO<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $KClO<sub>3</sub>$ , and KCl. The quantitative relationship between the rising edge energy and its total charge is determined by a linear fit to the data points, given by the equation  $q = -916.66 +$ 0.32425  $eV^{-1}(x)$ , where *q* is the charge on the C1 and *x* is the edge inflection point in eV.

inflection point occurs at (see Table 1)  $2824.8$  eV for Cl<sup>t</sup> in Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP and at 2825.8 eV for Cl<sup>b</sup> in Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl. The main edge inflection in the planar  $KCuCl<sub>3</sub>$  dimer is at 2824.9 eV. This inflection point is thus assigned to the Cl 1s  $\rightarrow$  4p transition for  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$ . From the stoichiometry of these dimers (2:1)  $Cl^1:Cl^b$ ), the  $Cl^t$  would be expected to make a stronger contribution to the rising edge region. To obtain an estimate for unresolved Cl<sup>b</sup> 1s  $\rightarrow$  4p transition in this spectrum, the magnitude of the pre-edge splitting can be used. While the difference in the Cl<sup>t</sup> and Cl<sup>b</sup> 1s  $\rightarrow$  4p transitions is not necessarily the same as the 1s core energy difference (reflected in the pre-edge splitting), the pre-edge splitting of  $\sim 0.7$  eV provides a useful approximation. Thus, the inflection point for  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  would be expected at  $\sim$ 2825.6 eV (given in parentheses in Table 1), consistent with the inflection point for the  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  of Cu<sub>2</sub>- $(L-O^-)Cl$ . The charges which correspond to these inflection points are  $-0.69$  and  $-0.46$  eV for Cl<sup>t</sup> and Cl<sup>b</sup>, respectively (see Table 1). It should be noted that if the opposite assignment is made (such that the main edge inflection originates from  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$ ), unreasonable values for the charges on each C1 are obtained.

By analogy, the main edge inflection point (at 2825.3 eV) in the tetrahedrally distorted (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub> can be assigned as corresponding to Cl<sup>t</sup>. The unresolved Cl<sup>b</sup> inflection point is estimated to be  $\sim$ 1 eV higher at 2826.3 eV (given in parentheses in Table 1). The charges calculated from these inflection points are  $-0.56$  for Cl<sup>t</sup> and  $-0.23$  for Cl<sup>b</sup>. Due to the uncertainty in obtaining the inflection for Cl<sup>b</sup> in these  $Cu_2Cl_6^{2-}$  dimers (in addition to the uncertainty in the pre-edge splitting for  $KCuCl<sub>3</sub>$ ), the charge on each  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  is not rigorously determined.

For systems in which the charges on all ligands are quantitated by the above method, the charge on the central copper can be estimated. For  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> each Cl carries a charge of  $-0.65$ and the Cu carries a charge of  $+0.60$ . In  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> each Cl carries a charge of  $-0.56$  and the Cu carries a charge of  $+0.24$ . In the planar dimer  $KCuCl<sub>3</sub>$ , the Cl<sup>t'</sup>s and Cl<sup>b</sup>'s carry charges of  $-0.69$  and  $-0.46$ , respectively, and each Cu is characterized by a charge of  $+0.84$ . In the tetrahedrally distorted dimer (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub> the Cl<sup>1</sup>'s and Cl<sup>b</sup>'s carry charges of  $-0.56$  and -0.23, respectively, which results in a charge on Cu of *+0.35.*  These results indicate that, upon distortion from planarity, the total charge donation to the copper is increased. Further, while each  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  in a dimer donates more charge than the  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$ , each copper in a dimer is more positive than in the analogous monomer because each bridging chloride must donate charge to two metal centers.





<sup>2</sup> Error is less than 0.009 unless otherwise noted. <sup>b</sup> Error for these energy differences is estimated to be  $\pm 0.1$  eV. <sup>c</sup> Shifts are quantitated by differences in the centers of the d-d optical transitions for which differences in the  $xy \rightarrow x^2 - y^2$  transition energy. <sup>*d*</sup> Shifts are quantitated by differences in the centers of the d-d optical transitions for which the uncertainty is  $\sim 0.2$  eV. *e* Hitchman, M. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Ch* <sup>8</sup> Reference 17. <sup>h</sup> Reference 33. <sup>*i*</sup> Reference 38. *<sup>j</sup>* Reference 21. <sup>k</sup> Reference 22.

**B. Pre-edge Peak Intensities.** As described in the Introduction, the intensity of the pre-edge feature in C1 K-edge spectra of C1-Cu(I1) systems provides a direct probe of the ligand contribution to the HOMO due to bonding.8

For symmetrically inequivalent chlorides, only those which contribute C1 3p character to the HOMO can reflect pre-edge intensity. Therefore, in order to accurately compare the preedge intensity for  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>$  and  $Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP$  to that of the other complexes, the pre-edge intensity must be renormalized. The HOMO is  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  for both CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-33</sup> and Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP.<sup>34</sup> As the axial chloride of the square pyramid has no overlap with the  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital, it cannot contribute to the intensity of the pre-edge feature. Thus, in  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>$ , while all five chlorides contribute to the edge jump, only the four equatorial chlorides contribute to the pre-edge intensity. In  $Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP$ , three chlorides contribute to the edge jump, but only the two equatorial Cl<sup>t</sup> contribute to the pre-edge intensity. Further, for systems in which there are more than one pre-edge transition *(e.g., both Cl<sup>t</sup> and Cl<sup>b</sup>* transitions), each transition intensity must be renormalized to the total of that type of C1 in the complex. Table 1 gives the renormalized pre-edge intensity for each complex.

The covalency of the  $Cl-Cu(II)$  interaction has been determined for  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> by a variety of spectroscopic methods.<sup>35</sup> These give a HOMO with 9.8% C1 3p character donated by each of the four chlorides. Using this value as a calibration of the C1 K-edge pre-edge intensity, the covalency can be calculated from the experimental intensity for each complex using eq 1. The C1 covalency in the HOMO of these complexes ranges from 7.3% per chloride in  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> to 13.8% for the  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  in Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl. These results are summarized in Table 1.

**C. Pre-edge Peak Energies.** In order to understand the trends exhibited in the energies of ligand pre-edge features, the separate contributions to the pre-edge energy (see Figure 2) from the C1 1s core and the HOMO (both ligand field effects and d-manifold shifts) must be quantitated.

The contribution to the pre-edge energy from the C1 1s core can be determined from the rising edge inflection point of C1 K-edge spectra. As described above, the energy position of the rising edge inflection point gives a measure of the relative

C1 **1s** core shift. The C1 **1s** core energies for each complex relative to  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> for four-coordinate complexes and relative to  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>$  for five-coordinate complexes are summarized in Table **2.** Because an increase in the coordination number would be expected to raise the overall d-manifold energy, this variable is eliminated if complexes with the same coordination number are compared *(vide infra).* 

Energy shifts in the HOMO which are related to variations in ligand field geometry can be taken into account by comparison of the optical d-d transition energies. For structural perturbations which change the geometry of the site only with respect to the ligands in the equatorial plane, changes in the energy of the  $xy \rightarrow x^2 - y^2$  optical transition give the relative relative repulsion of the HOMO. In complexes for which the ligand field transitions have been assigned by polarized single-crystal absorption spectroscopy, comparison of the energies of the *xy*   $\rightarrow x^2 - y^2$  transition can be made.

As the ligand field changes, all orbitals change energy. However, the center of the four fully occupied d-orbitals will experience a more limited change.<sup>36</sup> Thus, a change in the energy center of the ligand field transitions primarily reflects shifts in the HOMO. In cases for which optical assignments have not been made, the shift in the center of the  $d-d$  transitions provides a reasonable approximation to the shift in the HOMO. This method introduces a larger uncertainty ( $\sim$ 0.2 eV) than the use of assigned d-d transitions. Table 2 summarizes the shifts in the HOMO energy due to ligand field effects relative to *D4h*   $CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>$  for four-coordinate complexes and relative to  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3</sup>$ for five-coordinate complexes.

These relative energy shifts in the C1 1s core and the HOMO can be used to obtain pre-edge energies which have been ligand field and core shift corrected. Shifts in the HOMO energy due to ligand field effects are subtracted from and the core 1s energy are added to the observed pre-edge transition energy (see Figure **2).** These corrected pre-edge energies are given in Table **2.**  For cases in which these are the only contributions to the preedge energy, the corrected energy will be 2820.6 eV, the preedge energy for both  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>, which have been used as the reference compounds for four- and fivecoordinate complexes, respectively. Deviations from this value indicate that an additional effect, the overall energy of the

<sup>(33)</sup> Desjardins, S. R.; Wilcox, D. E.; Musselman, R. L.; Solomon, E. I. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987,** *26,* 288-300.

**<sup>(34)</sup>** Mandal, *S.* K.; Woon, T. C.; Thompson, L. K.; Newlands, M. J.; Gabe, E. J. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1986,** *39,* 1007-1021.

<sup>(35)</sup> Solomon, E. I. *Comments Inorg. Chem.* **1984, 3,** 227-320.

<sup>(36)</sup> Solomon, E. I.; **Hare,** J. W.; Dooley, D. M.; Dawson, J. H.; Stephens, **P.** J.; Gray, H. B. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1980,** *102,* 168-178.

d-manifold, must be taken into account. Table 2 (last column) gives the relative deviation in the corrected pre-edge energy (from 2820.6 eV), which is attributable to a d-manifold energy shift.

**D.** Correlations in C1-Metal Bonding. Having defined the information content of C1 pre-edge energies and intensities, one can now use these to obtain insight into bonding differences in related compounds.

 $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Comparison of the Cl K-edge spectra for  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (Figure 4) shows that the intensity of the  $D_{2d}$  complex is lower than that for  $D_{4h}$ . The intensity corresponds to a 9.8% covalent contribution to the HOMO per C1 in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, while the covalency of the  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> HOMO is 7.3% per Cl (Table 1). As described previously, this is due to the differences in overlap between the Cl 3p orbitals and the Cu  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbital in the two geometries.<sup>8,9</sup> The  $D_{4h}$  Cu  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbital lobes point directly at the C1 ligands, resulting in higher covalency.

The pre-edge transition energy is 0.4 eV lower for the  $D_{2d}$ complex relative to  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. While this shift has previously<sup>9</sup> been attributed simply to the effect of the ligand field change, the energy analysis shows that this is a result of a ligand field induced HOMO shift to lower energy in  $D_{2d}$  by  $-0.9$  eV in addition to a shift in the  $D_{2d}$  1s core to deeper energy by  $-0.3$  eV. A small energy effect of  $0.2 \pm 0.1$  eV, attributable to a shift to higher energy of the d-manifold of  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, emerges from the analysis (Table 2). An overall shift up in the energy of the d-manifold is consistent with a slightly less positive Cu ion in the  $D_{2d}$  complex due to more total charge donation by its C1 ligands. The estimated charge on the copper in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> is +0.60 and in  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> is +0.24.

This effect of charge donation is further supported by the C1 K-edge spectra which show that the rising edge inflection point is 0.3 eV higher in the  $D_{2d}$  complex (Table 1). The relative energy of the rising edge inflection point indicates that the overall charge donation by the C1 in  $D_{2d}$  is greater, resulting in a C1 1s core at deeper binding energy. This is also in agreement with results from a PES study<sup>37</sup> of these complexes which found that  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> was characterized by  $\sim$ 3% more Cl covalency over the d-manifold than  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Thus, even though the HOMO for the  $D_{4h}$  complex has a higher covalent contribution from Cl, the distortion from planarity in  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, which results in slightly shorter bond lengths, allows a more favorable *overall* bonding interaction between the C1 and the copper.

 $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub>. The Cl K-edge spectrum of CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub> (Figure 4) exhibits nearly the same pre-edge intensity as  $D_{4h}$  CuCL<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. The intensity corresponds to 9.7% per C1 in CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub> (compared to 9.8% in the  $D_{4h}$  complex) (Table 1). This indicates that, with respect to the Cu  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital, each Cl in CuCl2pdmp2 undergoes a very similar bonding interaction as in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>.

The pre-edge transition energy is  $0.1$  eV lower in the CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp2 complex, and the ligand field shifts the HOMO to lower energy by 0.6 eV. Combined with the core shift to higher energy by 0.3 eV, the energy analysis indicates that the d-manifold is shifted up in CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub> by  $0.2 \pm 0.3$  eV (Table 2). We are limited in this case primarily by the error associated with the measurement of the ligand field shift of the HOMO. Thus, within the resolution of our analysis there is little significant d-manifold shift in the  $CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub>$  complex relative to  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>.

The rising edge inflection point is  $0.3$  eV higher in the CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmpz complex (Table l), reflecting a core shift to deeper energy by 0.3 eV. This indicates that the overall charge donation by the C1 in CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub> is larger than that in  $D_{4h}$  $CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>$ . Because two of the negatively charged Cl ligands have been replaced by neutral pyrazoles, each remaining C1 donates more electron density to the metal than in the tetrachloro complex.

 $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>. The renormalized Cl K-edge preedge intensity in CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3–</sup> (Figure 4) is lower than that in  $D_{4h}$ CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. The CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup> intensity corresponds to 9.1% per Cl<sub>eq</sub> in the HOMO (Table 1). In the square pyramidal geometry, the Cu is  $0.3 \text{ Å}$  above the plane of the four Cl<sub>eq</sub> ligands. Thus, the Cl<sub>eq</sub> ligands have less direct overlap with the Cu  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbital than in the planar  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. This change in the geometry, then, slightly decreases the  $Cl_{eq}$  covalent contribution to the HOMO.

The observed pre-edge transition energy is the same in both complexes. The shift in the ligand field in  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>$  relative to  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> is determined from the energy of the optical  $xy \rightarrow$  $x^2 - y^2$  transition, which indicates a shift down of the CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3–</sup> HOMO by at least 0.2 eV due to a decrease in the repulsive interaction of the  $x^2 - y^2$  orbital with the equatorial ligands when they are distorted out of the Cu *xy* plane. In this case the *xy*  orbital may also experience less repulsion. Thus, the absolute shift in the  $x^2 - y^2$  orbital may be even larger than 0.2 eV. Combined with the core shift to higher energy by 0.1 eV, the energy analysis indicates that the overall d-manifold is shifted to higher energy in CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup> by at least 0.3 eV (Table 2). This d-manifold shift is due to the increase in coordination number which increases the repulsive, antibonding interaction experienced by the metal center.

The rising edge inflection point for the  $Cl_{eq}$  in CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup> is 0.1 eV lower than that observed in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, reflecting a core shift to higher energy by 0.1 eV in CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>. This indicates that the overall charge donation by the  $Cl_{eq}$  in  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>$  is slightly less than in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. The equatorial bond lengths in these two complexes are nearly identical (2.250  $\AA$ <sup>14</sup> and 2.255  $\AA$ <sup>18</sup> for  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>, respectively). However, the presence of additional  $Cl_{ax}$  results in each of the  $Cl_{eq}$  ligands donating somewhat less charge than in the four-coordinate complex.

 $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and KCuCl<sub>3</sub>. The planar dimer KCuCl<sub>3</sub> exhibits two, unresolved pre-edge features (Figure 5). As prescribed in the Results, the lower energy transition is assigned as originating from  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$  and the higher energy from  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$ . The renormalized intensity of  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  is somewhat larger than that for Cl<sup>t</sup>, corresponding to a covalency per Cl of 12.7  $\pm$  1.8% for C<sup>It</sup> and 13.5  $\pm$  3.5% for C<sup>Ib</sup>. The uncertainty in these measurements, which originates from the overlapping nature of the features, limits our ability to make quantitative comparisons of these covalencies. Both chlorides in the dimer seem to be slightly more covalent with respect to the HOMO than that observed in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>.

The  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  pre-edge transition energies are separated by approximately 0.7 eV. Because these two transitions are from within the same molecule, the HOMO energy is fixed. Thus, this energy splitting provides a *direct* measure of the difference in the C1 1s core energies. The splitting is a clear indication that each  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$ , which is bound to two coppers, donates more negative charge than each Cl', which is bound to only one copper. This causes the  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  1s core orbital to shift to deeper binding energy and results in a pre-edge transition at higher energy.

**<sup>(37)</sup>** Didziulis, *S.* V.; Cohen, *S.* L.; Gewirth, **A. A,;** Solomon, E. I. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1988,** *110,* **250-268.** 

The  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$  pre-edge transition energy in  $KCuCl<sub>3</sub>$  is the same as that in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, while the Cl<sup>b</sup> transition is ~0.7 eV higher. The shift in the HOMO due to shifts in the ligand field for KCuCl<sub>3</sub>, relative to  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, is calculated to be -0.1 eV from the  $xy \rightarrow x^2 - y^2$  transition. Combined with the core shift to higher energy by 0.1 eV for the Cl<sup>b</sup> (relative to  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>), this indicates that the overall d-manifold is shifted to higher energy in KCuCl<sub>3</sub> by  $0.2 \pm 0.2$  eV (Table 2). Thus, within the resolution of our analysis, there is no net d-manifold shift in the dimer relative to the square planar monomer.

As described previously, the total charge on the Cu  $(+0.84)$ is more positive in the dimer than in the  $D_{4h}$  monomer (+0.60). Thus, while each Cl<sup>b</sup> donates more total charge than a Cl<sup>t</sup>, the total charge donation to each copper is less in the dimer than in the monomer. This change in charge would cause the copper orbitals to be shifted to lower energy in the dimer. However, due to the weak axial interactions in this complex the coordination number is increased from 4 to 6 (tetragonally elongated), increasing the repulsive interaction of the d-orbitals with the ligands. These two effects, which result in opposing d-manifold shifts, combine to give little net change in the d-manifold energy.

The rising edge inflection point reflects a core shift to lower binding energy by  $\sim 0.1$  eV in the Cl<sup>t</sup> of KCuCl<sub>3</sub> relative to the C1 in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. By comparison of the pre-edge transition splitting, the Cl<sup>b</sup> core is estimated to be  $\sim 0.6$  eV to lower energy than in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. This indicates that the overall charge donation by the C<sup>1</sup> in KCuCl<sub>3</sub> is similar to the Cl in  $D_{4h}$  and the donation by  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  is greater due to the interaction with two coppers.

 $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub>. The distorted tetrahedral dimer (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub> also exhibits two resolved pre-edge features. As described in the Results, the lower energy transition originates from  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$  and the higher energy from  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$ . The renormalized intensity of  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  is larger than that for  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$ , corresponding to a covalency per Cl of 9.5% for Cl<sup>t</sup> and 11.7% for Cl<sup>b</sup> (Table 1). These results clearly indicate that the Cl<sup>b</sup> undergoes a more covalent interaction with respect to the HOMO due to its bonding to two coppers. The value of the C<sup>1</sup> covalency is only slightly less than the 9.8% per Cl for  $D_{4h}$ CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. While distortion toward  $T_d$  in the  $D_{2d}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> monomer reduced the C1 HOMO covalency, the distortion from planarity in this dimer complex is not as large. Further, the  $Cu$ -Cl<sup>t</sup> bond length is significantly shorter in the  $(Ph_4P)CuCl_3$ dimer than in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (2.192<sup>20,38</sup> *vs* 2.250 Å,<sup>14</sup> respectively) which will serve to increase the covalent interaction. This combination of factors results in only a small total reduction in covalency for Cl<sup>t</sup> relative to  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>.

The  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  pre-edge transition energies are separated by 1 *.O* eV. **As** in the planar dimer, this energy splitting provides a *direct* measure of the difference in the C1 1s core energies. The splitting is a clear indication that Cl<sup>b</sup> donates more overall charge in the dimer through its interactions with two coppers.

The pre-edge transition energy is 0.6 eV lower for the Cl', and transition from Cl<sup>b</sup> is 0.4 eV higher than in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl4<sup>2-</sup>. The shift in the ligand field in  $(Ph_4P)CuCl_3$ , as calculated from the centers of the optical  $d-d$  transitions, indicates a shift down of the HOMO in (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub> by 0.5 eV relative to  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, which would be expected for the distortion away from planarity. Combined with the core shift to deeper energy by 0.3 eV for Cl', this indicates that the overall d-manifold is shifted to lower energy in (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub> by  $0.4 \pm 0.3$  eV (Table 2). This is consistent with a higher positive charge on the copper, which would result in a shift of all Cu orbitals to lower energy. However, the charge calculated above for the coppers in this dimer (+0.35) is less positive than the Cu (+0.60) in  $D_{4h}$  $CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>$ . Because this calculated charge depends on an indirect estimate of the Cl<sup>b</sup> core shift, the above d-manifold shift indicates that this approximation overestimates the total charge donated by Cl<sup>b</sup> in this complex.

The rising edge inflection point in  $(Ph_4P)CuCl_3$  corresponding to C<sup>I</sup><sup>t</sup> appears 0.3 eV higher than the Cl in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and reflects a core shift to deeper energy by 0.3 eV in Cl'. From the pre-edge transition splitting, then, the  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  core is shifted to  $\sim$ 1.3 eV to deeper energy than in  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. This indicates that the overall charge donation by  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$  in (Ph<sub>4</sub>P)CuCl<sub>3</sub> is slightly more than that by the C1 in  $D_{4h}$ , and the donation by Cl<sup>b</sup> is significantly greater. The increased charge donation by C1' is due to the change in geometry which, as in the  $D_{2d}$  monomer, favors an increase in the overall charge donation by the ligands. The shorter  $Cl^{\text{t}}$ -Cu(II) bond length also allows for a relative increase in the  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$  charge donation. Relative to  $Cl<sup>t</sup>$ , the increase in charge donation by  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  is again due to its interaction with two coppers.

**Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP and Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl. The Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP and Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)-**C1 dimers contain square pyramidal coppers with N/O coordination. Each Cu in Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP has one terminal Cl<sub>eq</sub> which contributes to the pre-edge feature, while the two coppers in  $Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl$  are bridged by a single equatorial chloride.

The renormalized pre-edge intensity is significantly higher for  $Cu_2(L-O^-)Cl$  than for  $Cu_2PAP$  (Figure 7). This corresponds to 13.7% covalent contribution per Cl in  $Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl$  and a 10.1% covalent contribution per C1 in CuzPAP. The high covalency in  $Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl$  is due to the bridging nature of the chloride bonding interaction.

The Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP Cl<sub>eq</sub> can be compared to the Cl<sub>eq</sub> in CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>. The covalent contribution to the HOMO of each  $Cl_{eq}$  in Cu<sub>2</sub>-PAP is higher than that in CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup> (9.1%), which is likely due to the significant distortion of the square pyramid in  $Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP<sup>30</sup>$ resulting in a more favorable  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  - Cl 3p interaction than in  $CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup>.$ 

In contrast to observations for the monomeric  $CuCl<sub>2</sub>pdmp<sub>2</sub>$ , there is no indication that the chlorides in these dimers donate more total charge due to the substitution of N/O ligation at the copper sites. This is likely due to the variations in donating ability by these ligands which, due to the complexity of the ligands, can not be easily determined.

The pre-edge energy for  $Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP$  is 0.7 eV lower than in  $Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl$ . As the energy analysis in Table 2 shows, the relative 1s core energies for the chlorides in these dimers are different by 1.0 eV, while the ligand field contributions to the HOMO energies are nearly the same. Thus, a small difference in the overall d-manifold is indicated which can likely be attributed to differences in bonding interactions with the nonchloride ligands.

The difference between the rising edge inflection points, 2824.8 and 2825.8 eV for the terminal  $Cl_{eq}$  of  $Cu<sub>2</sub>PAP$  and the  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  of Cu<sub>2</sub>(L-O<sup>-</sup>)Cl, respectively, clearly illustrates the difference in charge donation by the terminal and bridging chloride.  $Cl<sup>b</sup>$  donates significantly more charge due to its interaction with two coppers which shifts the Cl<sup>b</sup> 1s core to deeper energy.

### **Discussion**

Ligand K-edge spectroscopy has been shown to be a powerful tool in the study of the electronic structure of metal-ligand interactions. The intensities of pre-edge features provide a direct probe of the covalent contribution of the ligand to the HOMO orbital. The energies of these pre-edge features can be corrected for ligand field effects and shifts in ligand core energy to reveal the relative energy of the d-manifold of the metal in the complex.

**<sup>(38)</sup>** Willett, R. **D.;** Chow, **C.** *Acta Crystallogr.* **1974,** *B30,* 207-214.

Finally, the rising edge inflection point energy reflects the C1 1s core energy and can be related to the relative charge donated by the ligand in the complex.

Results obtained from the application of this methodology to several systems are strongly supported by independent spectral data or by basic ligand field concepts. The d-manifold shift to less deep binding energy in  $D_{2d}$  *vs*  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> indicates the Cu in the  $D_{2d}$  complex is less positively charged. This result is supported by PES studies<sup>37</sup> which showed the  $D_{2d}$  complex to be more covalent over its orbitals than the *D4h* complex. Further, this study indicates a shift up in the d-manifold energy for square pyramidal CuCl<sub>5</sub><sup>3-</sup> relative to  $D_{4h}$  CuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> when a fifth ligand is added to the coordination sphere. **This** is supported by basic ligand field concepts which give the average d-orbital energy to be proportional to,  $\sum_{i} Z_{i}e/a$ , which is a sum over *i* ligands with a metal-ligand distance of a and charge  $Z_i^{39}$ 

The application of energy and intensity models to a series of  $Cl-Cu(II)$  complexes has allowed us to define the chemical basis for effects which contribute to pre-edge energies and intensities.

In a geometric distortion from a square planar to a distorted tetrahedral structure, a decrease in the covalent C1 contribution to the HOMO and an increase in the overall charge donation by C1 ligands are observed. The results show clearly that while the geometry can maximize the overlap and result in a high covalency in the HOMO, this is not necessarily reflective of the overall charge donation. However, because the halfoccupied HOMO serves as the redox-active orbital in Cu(I1) systems, the covalency of this orbital is crucial for understanding the catalytic properties of Cu in enzymatic systems.

The bonding interaction with copper is very different for terminal *vs* bridging chlorides. Due to the interaction with two copper ions, bridging chlorides donate more total charge than terminal chlorides. This difference in bonding is also reflected in a relatively larger covalent contribution to the HOMO for bridging chlorides.

*An* increase in the coordination number or an increase in charge donation by the ligands (resulting in a less positively charged copper ion) has been shown to result in an increase in the overall energy of the d-manifold of the copper.

The  $Cl-Cu(II)$  bonding is dependent on the nature of the other coordinating ligands. Replacing chlorides with less strongly donating pyrazoles causes the remaining chlorides to donate more total charge relative to the tetrachloro complex.

Ligand pre-edge features, which contain contributions from both the C1 1s core and the antibonding HOMO, are more complicated than features localized only on the ligand or metal. However, this study has shown that the different contributions can be separated to provide insight into the ligand-metal interactions of the site.

These studies, which demonstrate how ligand K-edge X-ray absorption features can be used to obtain information about ligand-metal bonding, form the basis for future ligand XAS studies. In particular, this methodology can be applied to study the differences in covalency of  $S(Cys)$ —Cu(II) bonding interactions and the relationship to electron transfer reactivity in perturbed blue copper sites. Further, the fact that ligand K-edge XAS can resolve features of bridging and terminal chlorides will be important for studies on iron-sulfur metalloproteins, in which the active site contains bridging inorganic sulfide as well as terminal cysteine sulfur ligands.

**Acknowledgment.** This research was supported by Grants RR-01209 [NIH] and CHE-9121576 [NSF] (K.O.H.) and Grants CHE-9217628 [NSF] (E.I.S.). SSRL operations are funded by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. The Biotechnology **Program** is supported by the NIH, Biomedical Research Technology **Program,** National Center for Research Resources. Further support is provided by the Department of Energy, Office of Health and Environmental Research. The authors also thank Prof. Kenneth Karlin for providing the precursor to  $Cu_2(L-O^-)Cl$  and Dr. William Estes for helpful discussions about the synthesis of  $(Ph_4P)CuClBr_2$ .

<sup>(39)</sup> Figgis, B. N. *Introduction to Ligand Fields; John Wiley* & Sons, Inc.: **New York,** 1966, **p** 31.